## RATING TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Grant Number</th>
<th>Renewal, New, Expansion, Reallocate</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Met All HUD Threshold Requirements</th>
<th>Met All CoC Threshold Requirements</th>
<th>Weighted Rating Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MD0143L3B071710</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>7 Unit</td>
<td>BHA</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MD0226L3B071709</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>5 Unit</td>
<td>BHA</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MD0302L3B071704</td>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>HSDC</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>App Type</td>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Project Priority</td>
<td>Thresholds</td>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>Fund Request</td>
<td>Tier 2 Allocation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHA 7 Unit</td>
<td>Renew</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$103,963.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHA 5 Unit</td>
<td>Renew</td>
<td>PSH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$75,737.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSDC RRH</td>
<td>Renew</td>
<td>RRH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>$65,172.00</td>
<td>$ (14,692.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated ARD (w/o planning) $244,872.00
Tier 1 (94% ARD w/o planning) $230,179.68
Tier 2 (6% ARD w/o planning) $14,692.32
CoC Planning $7,346.00
Renewal/Expansion Projects

Threshold Review Complete

Project Name: V 5 Unit (1)
Organization Name: BHA
Project Type: PSH
Project Identifier: 1

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the
HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in
the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Threshold Requirements

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

HUD Threshold Requirements

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.
   Answer: Yes

2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.
   Answer: Yes

3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless:
   (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or
   (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.
   Answer: Yes

4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.
   Answer: Yes

5. Disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.
   Answer: Yes

6. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.
   Answer: Yes

7. Demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.
   Answer: Yes

8. Agreed to Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.
   Answer: Yes

9. Met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants. HUD will also assess renewal projects using the following performance standards in relation to the project’s prior grants:
   (a) Whether the project applicant’s performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;
   (b) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;
   (c) The project applicant’s performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet this standard; and;
   (d) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been working to address technical assistance, has a history of intransparent financial accounting practices, has mismanagement or project mismanagement has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.
   Answer: Yes

10. Met HUD financial expectations – If a project applicant has previously received HUD grants, the organization must have demonstrated its ability to meet HUD’s financial expectations:
   (a) Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
   (b) Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
   Answer: Yes
### RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

**Project Name:** Y 5 Unit (1)  
**Organization Name:** BHA  
**Project Type:** PSH  
**Project Identifier:** 1

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list. If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

#### Threshold Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(c) History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.

#### CoC Threshold Requirements

For each requirement, select “Yes” if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC, or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select “No”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated Entry Participation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented, secured minimum match</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is financially feasible</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant is active CoC participant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application is complete and data are consistent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data quality at or above 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable organizational audit/financial review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented organizational financial stability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>YES/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.  
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.  
3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts - It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless:  
   - (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or  
   - (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.  
4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.  
5. Disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.  
6. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.  
7. Demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.  
8. Agreed to Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.  
9. Met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants. HUD will also assess renewal projects using the following performance standards in relation to the project’s prior grants:  
   - (a) Whether the project applicant’s performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;  
   - (b) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;  
   - (c) The project applicant’s performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet this standard; and,  
   - (d) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been determined to have inadequate technical assistance, has a history of management financial awarding practices, has indications of project management, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.  
10. Met HUD financial expectations – If a project applicant has previously received HUD grants, the organization must have demonstrated its ability to meet HUD’s financial expectations. If any of the following have occurred, the project applicant would NOT meet this threshold criteria:  
   - (a) Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;  
   - (b) Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
### RENEW/EXP. THRESHOLD

**Project Name:** Y 7 Unit (2)  
**Organization Name:** BHA  
**Project Type:** PSH  
**Project Identifier:** 2

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

**Renewal/Expansion Projects**  
Threshold Review Complete

---

**RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>YES/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(c) History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.

**CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS**

For each requirement, select “Yes” if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select “No”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>YES/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated Entry Participation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented, secured minimum match</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is financially feasible</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant is active CoC participant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application is complete and data are consistent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data quality at or above 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable organizational audit/financial review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented organizational financial stability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

### HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.
2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.
3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts: It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless:
   - (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or
   - (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.
4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.
5. Disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.
6. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.
7. Demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.
8. Agreed to Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.
9. Met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants. HUD will also assess renewal projects using the following performance standards in relation to the project's prior grants:
   - (a) Whether the project applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;
   - (b) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;
   - (c) The project applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet this standard; and,
   - (d) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has mismanaged or misused funds, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.
10. Met HUD financial expectations – If a project applicant has previously received HUD grants, the organization must have demonstrated its ability to meet HUD’s financial expectations.
   - (a) Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;
   - (b) Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;
RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.

If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Threshold Review Complete

100% "YES/NO"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>YES/NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(c) History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance;</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.

CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select “Yes” if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select “No”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated Entry Participation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented, secured minimum match</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project is financially feasible</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant is active CoC participant</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application is complete and data are consistent</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data quality at or above 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable organizational audit/financial review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented organizational financial stability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

### Project Name: V 5 Unit (1)

**Organization Name:** BHA

**Project Type:** PSH

**Project Identifier:** 1

### Instructions on Awarding Points

**RATING FACTOR** | **PERFORMANCE GOAL** | **POINTS AWARDED** | **MAX POINT VALUE**
---|---|---|---
**PERFORMANCE MEASURES** | | | |
**Exits to Permanent Housing** | | | |
Permanent Supportive-Housing | 90% remain in or move to PH | 100 % | 25 out of 25
**Returns to Homelessness** | | | |
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | ≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness | 0 % | 15 out of 15
**New or Increased Income and Earned Income** | | | |
Earned income for project stayers | 8%+ increase | 0 % | 0.0 out of 2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers | 10%+ increase | 0 % | 0.0 out of 2.5

**Performance Measures Subtotal** | 40 | out of 45

### SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</strong></th>
<th><strong>POINTS AWARDED</strong></th>
<th><strong>MAX POINT VALUE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Permanent Supportive-Housing | ≥ 80% of participants with zero income at entry | 22 % | 0 out of 10 |
Permanent Supportive-Housing | ≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type | 55 % | 0 out of 10 |
Permanent Supportive-Housing | ≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | 22 % | 0 out of 10 |

**Serve High Need Populations Subtotal** | 0 | out of 30

### PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>PERFORMANCE GOAL</strong></th>
<th><strong>POINTS AWARDED</strong></th>
<th><strong>MAX POINT VALUE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Project has reasonable costs | Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type (total) | Yes | 20 | out of 20 |
Coordinated Entry Participation | ≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals | 100 % | 10 | out of 10 |
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation | Commits to applying Housing First model | Yes | 10 | out of 10 |

**Project Effectiveness Subtotal** | 40 | out of 40

### OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA

**PERFORMANCE GOAL** | **POINTS AWARDED** | **MAX POINT VALUE** |
---|---|---|
Applicant Narrative | Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards | Yes | 10 | out of 10 |
PSH - CoC Meeting Participation | Yes | Yes | 10.0 | out of 10 |
PSH - 100% Dedicated or Dedicated + | Yes | No | 0 | out of 10 |
PSH - Complete + Submitted Project App | Yes | Yes | 20.0 | out of 20 |
PSH - All Funds Spent | Yes | No | 0 | out of 20 |

**Other and Local Criteria Subtotal** | 40 | out of 70

**TOTAL SCORE** | 120 | out of 185
**RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL**

**Project Name:** Y 5 Unit (1)

**Organization Name:** BHA

**Project Type:** PSH

**Project Identifier:** 1

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATING FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Did not meet all CoC threshold requirements</td>
<td>65 out of 100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

- **CoC funding requested**
  - $71,316

- **Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)**
  - $0

- **Amount of private funding**
  - $0

- **CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year**
  - $0

- **CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year**
  - $0

- **Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year**
  - 0%
### RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

**Project Name:** V 7 Unit (2)

**Organization Name:** BHA

**Project Type:** PSH

**Project Identifier:** 2

**Rating Complete:** Met all threshold requirements

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATING FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exits to Permanent Housing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive-Housing</td>
<td>90% remain in or move to PH</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Returns to Homelessness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing</td>
<td>≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned income for project stayers</td>
<td>8%+ increase</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-employment income for project stayers</td>
<td>10%+ increase</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Performance Measures Subtotal:** 45 out of 45

---

| **SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS** | | | |
| Permanent Supportive-Housing | ≥ 80% of participants with zero income at entry | 7% | 0 | 10 |
| Permanent Supportive-Housing | ≥ 75% of participants with more than one disability type | 40% | 0 | 10 |
| Permanent Supportive-Housing | ≥ 75% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | 43% | 0 | 10 |

**Serve High Need Populations Subtotal:** 0 out of 30

---

| **PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS** | | | |
| Project has reasonable costs | | | |
| Coordinated Entry Participation | ≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals | 100% | 10 | 10 |
| Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation | Commits to applying Housing First model | Yes | 10 | 10 |

**Project Effectiveness Subtotal:** 40 out of 40

---

| **OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA** | | | |
| Applicant Narrative | Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards | Yes | 10 | 10 |
| PSH - CoC Meeting Participation | Yes | Yes | 10.0 | 10 |
| PSH - 100% Dedicated or Dedicated + | Yes | No | 0 | 10 |
| PSH - Complete + Submitted Project App | Yes | Yes | 20.0 | 20 |
| PSH - All Funds Spent | Yes | Yes | 20 | 20 |

**Other and Local Criteria Subtotal:** 60 out of 70

---

**TOTAL SCORE:** 145 out of 185
# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

## Project Information
- **Project Name:** Y 7 Unit (2)
- **Organization Name:** BHA
- **Project Type:** PSH
- **Project Identifier:** 2

## Rating Complete
- Met all threshold requirements

## Instructions on Awarding Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATING FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Rating Score</td>
<td>78 out of 100</td>
<td>78 out of 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Project Financial Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoC funding requested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of private funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

**Project Name:** RRH (3)  
**Organization Name:** HSDC  
**Project Type:** RRH  
**Project Identifier:** 3  
**Saved!**

## Instructions on Awarding Points

RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | PERFORMANCE | POINTS AWARDED | MAX POINT VALUE |
---|---|---|---|---|
**PERFORMANCE MEASURES** | | | | |
Length of Stay  
Rapid Re-Housing  
Participants are placed in housing less than 90 days after referral to RRH  
Yes | 20 | out of 20

Exits to Permanent Housing  
Rapid Re-Housing  
90% move to PH  
90 | 25 | out of 25

Returns to Homelessness  
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing  
≤ 15% of participants return to homelessness  
0 | 15 | out of 15

New or Increased Income and Earned Income  
Earned income for project leavers  
8%+ increase  
0 | 0.0 | out of 2.5

Non-employment income for project leavers  
10%+ increase  
0 | 0.0 | out of 2.5

**Performance Measures Subtotal**  
60 | out of 65

**SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS**  
Rapid Re-Housing  
≥ 50% of participants with zero income at entry  
39 | 0 | out of 10

Rapid Re-Housing  
≥ 50% of participants with more than one disability type  
10 | 0 | out of 10

Rapid Re-Housing  
≥ 50% of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation  
17 | 0 | out of 10

**Serve High Need Populations Subtotal**  
0 | out of 30

**PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS**  
Project has reasonable costs  
Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type (total  
Yes | 20 | out of 20

Coordinated Entry Participation  
≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals  
100 | 10 | out of 10

Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation  
Commits to applying Housing First model  
No | 0 | out of 10

**Project Effectiveness Subtotal**  
30 | out of 40

**OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA**  
Applicant Narrative  
Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards  
Yes | 10 | out of 10

RRH - CoC Meeting Participation  
Yes  
Yes | 10 | out of 10

RRH - Complete + Submitted Project App  
Yes  
Yes | 20 | out of 20

RRH - All Funds Spent  
Yes  
No | 0 | out of 20

**Other and Local Criteria Subtotal**  
40 | out of 60

**TOTAL SCORE**  
130 | out of 195
**RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL**

Project Name: Y RRH (3)  
Organization Name: HSDC  
Project Type: RRH  
Project Identifier: 3  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATING FACTOR</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE GOAL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>POINTS AWARDED</th>
<th>MAX POINT VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Rating Score</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

CoC funding requested  
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)  
Amount of private funding  
TOTAL PROJECT COST  
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year  
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year  
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year

NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab

$ 65,172
$ -
$ -
$ 0%